

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Trevor Hywood <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 10:38 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Hello,

Genetic modification techniques pose risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed.

We have the right to know what is put in our food chain.

We need to be fully informed about gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Please do not submit to the interests of the corporate food lobbies that promote the false idea that GMO is safe, the great majority of people do not want GMO in the food chain.

Yours sincerely, Trevor Hywood Seaford Rise, South Australia, 5169, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Trevor Hywood via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Trevor provided an email address (trevorhywood@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Trevor Hywood at trevorhywood@yahoo.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Elaine Brakspear <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 11:10 AM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Sir/madam

I am writing to express my concern that Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) is considering deregulating a range of new genetic modification (GM) techniques in animals, plants and microbes. This would mean they will enter our food chain and our environment with no safety testing and no labelling. The risks are enormous and the results could be catastrophic.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago. Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate. Please read the email tips above for some points you could include in your own comments.

Yours sincerely, Elaine Brakspear

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Elaine Brakspear via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Elaine provided an email address (elaineb@africanencounter.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Elaine Brakspear at elaineb@africanencounter.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Amrei Bertelsmann <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 1:38 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern,

I always thought to have the right to know what I am putting into my mouth. If the government does not provide essential laws to guarantee that then this right is vastly diminished.

As you will be aware of is that GM foods are not contribute to the increase of the amount of food for feeding the growing population, in fact quite the contrary, so why can't you put safety measures in place and stand up to cooperations like Monsanto and stop the unsafe spreading of GM.

Yours sincerely, Amrei Bertelsmann Templestowe, Victoria, 3106, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Amrei Bertelsmann via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Amrei provided an email address (lacarbiines@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Amrei Bertelsmann at lacarbiines@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Vicki Swinbank <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 2:21 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

As a concerned and informed citizen and consumer, I am very concerned about the proposed introduction of the New Plant Breeding Techniques, including ODM, SDN's and CRISPR. Although these are said to be more precise and predictable than older GM technologies, they remain untested and unproven, and so still potentially pose the same risk to human health and to the environment. The potential effects of this new technology are still not fully understood, which is why the Norwegian environment and development agencies and Austrian government agencies consider that the potential risks of this new technology means it should be regulated on a case-by-case basis, applying the precautionary principle.

I am also concerned about the lack of transparency regarding this new technology, with little or no public debate about it. I understand that should these products enter the market, they will not be labelled. Why is this? Is it because, given the information and choice, most consumers reject GM foods, so it is in the interests of industry to keep the public in the dark?

Further, it seems counter-productive that Australian regulators would want to introduce this untested technology, given the importance to the economy of Australia's agricultural exports, and the reluctance of increasing numbers of countries to accept genetically-modified foods. Surely the introduction of this technology would damage Australia's 'clean green' image.

Finally, surely the primary responsibility of FSANZ and OGTR should be the safeguarding of public health and that of the environment, rather than promoting the financial interests of the biotech industry. To risk the former in the interests of the latter is ethically questionable and not in the public interest.

Given these valid concerns, I believe there should be a moratorium on the commercialisation of this new technology until a thorough case-by-case examination is done, and there has been more public debate and consultation. As citizens and consumers, we have a right to know if this technology is being used in our food. Yours sincerely, Vicki Swinbank

---

This email was sent by Vicki Swinbank via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Vicki provided an email address (vaswinbank@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Vicki Swinbank at vaswinbank@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Kristy Knight <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 2:25 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I demand that ALL GMO foods be labelled. It is my right as a consumer to know where my food comes from and what has been done to it. This is absolutely not on and must be stopped.

Yours sincerely, Kristy Knight Mount Waverley, Victoria, 3149, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Kristy Knight via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Kristy provided an email address (kristy\_bourke@mcmmedia.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kristy Knight at kristy\_bourke@mcmmedia.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** David Oldmeadow <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 3:12 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification at any level has inherent and largely unknown risks. In the interests of public health I urge you to ensure that all genetically modified foods require vigorous and comprehensive case-by case assessments before being approved for consumption. Also consumers have a right to know if foods they eat are genetically modified, therefore clear labelling of such foods is imperative.

Yours sincerely, Dr David Oldmeadow Hilton, Western Australia, 6163, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by David Oldmeadow via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however David provided an email address (daveandhannahao@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to David Oldmeadow at daveandhannahao@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** COLIN VINCENT <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 3:15 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

While having to go to the trouble of labelling genetically derived foods may seem to be a normal form of judgement.regarding costs incurred and being supportive of new technology, there is a query which also deserves consideration,

Q: How many scientifically evidenced findings can we provide to state what is the precise mechanics and processes by which the pulse, the teeth, the breath and the billions of cells of the human physiology get produced from a single cell ?

You are making judgement in the role of being the custodians of the genetic makeup of life. Your decisions will be inscribed in the future of life.

Yours sincerely, COLIN VINCENT Aldinga, South Australia, 5173, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by COLIN VINCENT via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however COLIN provided an email address (cgvincent@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to COLIN VINCENT at cgvincent@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** A Gibson <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 3:42 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am very concerned about not being able to have a choice regarding GM foods. I do not wish to give these to my family and feel such foods should have mandatory labelling for consumers to make an informed choice not just accept this is now how food is. Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, A Gibson Upper Ferntree Gully, Victoria, 3156, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by A Gibson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however A provided an email address (ashlea.g@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to A Gibson at ashlea.g@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.



## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Songul Kaba <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 3:56 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To Whom It May Concern,

I am extremely concerned and you to whom it may concern should be also. You will be playing with people's lives and health and you basically do not have the right to do this.

All I ask is that you give us the choice, which is our basic human right, to decide whether we want to consume GM products.

Do not give in to your own desire for power, wealth or whatever it may be that would cause you to even consider such a dangerous undertaking.

Yours sincerely, Songul Kaba Blacktown, New South Wales, 2148, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Songul Kaba via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Songul provided an email address (ozlem\_d13@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Songul Kaba at ozlem\_d13@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Kieren Scott <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 5:01 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Please ensure that you take the proper measures now to safe guard the future of our country and our health.

Yours sincerely, Kieren Scott Bibra Lake, Western Australia, 6163, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Kieren Scott via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Kieren provided an email address (kieren745@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kieren Scott at kieren745@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Ange Snyder <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 5:26 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom this may concern

Not enough is known about GM FOODS ; allow us to make the choice to be the scientific experiment if we chose. Perhaps the rationale is that no one would chose GM if given the choice. So this way they get filtered through our food supply. I don't understand how regulators get to be god Leave our food supply alone!

Yours sincerely, Ange Snyder

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Ange Snyder via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Ange provided an email address (organicempire@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Ange Snyder at organicempire@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Kathryn Orr <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 6:23 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please do not allow a blanket deregulation of genetically modified foods in Australia. We trust the Food Standards Authority to keep our place safe – our farming land, water sources, and the very safety of us and our children are at stake here. Please keep our trust and do the right thing – keep untested gm foods out of our food chain. Thank you. Yours sincerely, Kathryn Orr Windsor, New South Wales, 2756, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Kathryn Orr via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Kathryn provided an email address (kathrynlouiseorr@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kathryn Orr at kathrynlouiseorr@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Malcolm White <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 7:05 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Given the lies and deceit which has so far tainted GM crops I fail to comprehend why you would not want to assess all products produced by this technology for safety. Further until government is willing to fund independent assessments there can be no trustworthy data on which to base a decision. To date there is no Gm for increased yield only pesticide tolerance. What is galling is that there is yet to be adequate testing of the pesticides for safety. Instead of Generally Recognised As Safe we have whole communities now Generally Recognised As Sick because so called regulators seem hell bent on abdicating ALL responsibility.

Yours sincerely, Malcolm White

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Malcolm White via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Malcolm provided an email address (maltwix@farmside.co.nz) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Malcolm White at maltwix@farmside.co.nz.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** .ara Nokomis <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 7:00 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

please have ALL gmo products be clearly labelled for consumers to have a choice in what they put into their bodies.... it is beyond stupidity for this to be ignored... hear my voice...this is my choice...i do not choose gmo's.... they are dangerous

Yours sincerely, .ara Nokomis

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by .ara Nokomis via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however .ara provided an email address (daughter\_of\_the\_moon@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to .ara Nokomis at daughter\_of\_the\_moon@yahoo.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Miranda Hailes <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 7:13 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

All foods that contain GM ingredients should be labeled so that we the people have the choice.

Yours sincerely, Miranda Hailes

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Miranda Hailes via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Miranda provided an email address (miranda\_gray2002@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Miranda Hailes at miranda\_gray2002@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Mike Scott <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 7:20 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

This should be sufficient warning to any other reasonable organization or state considering the same GE techniques that the possible, even probable effects of their use is unknown. To us, more importantly, the individual must, in a free society, be given sufficient information about their food to choose whether or not to consume that food. This is a fundamental human right. The inclusion of GE material in any food product is a major and significant alteration to that food to many people. These people must not be denied the information on the packet of food as to exactly what it has in it and where it has come from. To deny the consuming public this information is to take the position of dictator, and this, the last time I looked, is not in the politics of either Australia or New Zealand.

Yours sincerely, Mike Scott and Sue Shotton

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Mike Scott via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Mike provided an email address (mikescott@xtra.co.nz) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Mike Scott at mikescott@xtra.co.nz.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.



## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Sue Trayling <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 8:47 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose enormous long term risks to our whole environment. All new GM techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago and not enough is known about the risks. Which include gene editing techniques causing DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA, and can create unexpected mutations. It is not acceptable to introduce this unnecessary and potentially harmful junk into our environment and our bodies.

Yours sincerely, Sue Trayling

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Sue Trayling via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sue provided an email address (kayt@snap.net.nz) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sue Trayling at kayt@snap.net.nz.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Michelle Hutchings <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 10:03 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Any changes to DNA or RNA is gene mutation it is something I do not accept in my food it needs to be investigated ensured it is safe for consumption and beyond any doubt let it be our choice. Make all genetic modification of food labeled so we can make an informed choice about our food. Food is meant to provide nutrition for our bodies not be some science experiment that is never proven to be safe.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, Michelle Hutchings Sunbury, Victoria, 3429, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Michelle Hutchings via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Michelle provided an email address (wrexy@live.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Michelle Hutchings at wrexy@live.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Nicole McGregor <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 10:57 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques' - I don't want my food tampered with I want food that has been around for a long time. Stop making decisions on my behalf

Here are some points you could include in your own comments:

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Nicole McGregor

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Nicole McGregor via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Nicole provided an email address (nicolemcgregor2000@yahoo.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Nicole McGregor at nicolemcgregor2000@yahoo.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** robin gardner <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 9 April 2018 11:20 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Sir, For the sake of my children and grandchildren could you please note the following: Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, robin gardner

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by robin gardner via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however robin provided an email address (robinjgardner@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to robin gardner at robinjgardner@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.